UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/980,657	02/15/2002	Serge Haumont	4925-160PUS 6776		
7590 01/03/2008 Michael C Stuart			EXAMINER		
	Lieberman & Pavane	LIPMAN, JACOB			
Suite 1210 551 Fifth Aven	110	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
New York, NY		2134			
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/03/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No. Applicant(s)						
		09/980,657	HAU	HAUMONT, SERGE				
		Examiner	Art l	Jnit				
		Jacob Lipman	2134					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHI0 - External after af	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMI 36(a). In no event, however, will apply and will expire SIX , cause the application to be	MUNICATION. The may a reply be timely filed The may a reply be timely filed The may be made as a second of the main come and a second of the second of the main come and a second of the second of the main come and a second of the seco	d ling date of this com J.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 No	<u>ovember 2007</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-12, 15, and 16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 13 and 14 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requireme	nt.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[_	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Exami	iner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in a	abeyance. See 37 C	FR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the dr	rawing(s) is objected	to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the att	tached Office Actio	n or form PTC	D-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents			r (f).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents)				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior				tage			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* 5	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
				•				
Attachmen		4 5 □ 1-4-	naiou Summos (DTO)	412\				
1)								
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5)	ice of Informal Patent A	pplication				
	er No(s)/Mail Date							

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 13 and 14, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dillon, USPN 5,727,065.

With regard to claim 13, Dillon discloses a receiver for receiving data (broadcast center 150) over a telecommunications network (column 1 lines 11-14, column 3 lines 42-45) including, means for deriving a first reference value (engine specific key, column 11 lines 4-6) from the received data (knowing which key to use of multiple security engines, column 8 lines 26-30), means for calculating an error check value from the received data (decrypted received checksum, column 12 lines 10-11), means for deriving an authentication value for the received data (decrypted billing information, column 8 lines 26-35), means for calculating a second reference value (broadcast center generated checksum, column 10 line 66-column 11 line 6) at least partly based on the authentication value (decrypted billing information) and the first reference value (engine specific key), and means for comparing the second reference value with the error check value determining whether the received data has changed during transmission (column 12 lines 5-14).

With regard to claim 14, Dillon discloses performing a DES function (column 10 line 54-column 11 line 6), which contains Xor functions.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 2 March 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to applicant's argument that the broadcast center of Dillon is not the user's receiver, the examiner points out that this limitation is not in the claim. The claim only recites, "a receiver for receiving data".

With regard to applicant's argument that the key of Dillon is stored in memory, and not transmitted with the encrypted data, the examiner points out again that this limitation is not claimed. The claim does not recite that the reference value must be included in the transmission, but rather that it is derived from the transmission. This reads on receiving a transmission, and deciding which stored key to use to decrypt the transmission.

With regard to applicant's argument that Dillon does not decrypt the checksum, the examiner points out again that this limitation is not found in the claim. Applicant continually discusses decrypting in the arguments, but this limitation is not found in the claims. The examiner further points out that even had the claim read, "decrypting an error check", Dillon does disclose this limitation in column 12 lines 5-14.

Applicant is urged to add limitations to the claims that he thinks distinguishes his invention from prior art.

Conclusion

09/980,657

Art Unit: 2134

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Lipman whose telephone number is 571-272-3837. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Fr.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Zand can be reached on 571-272-3811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JL

7- L: